Inspection Dashboard



The inspection dashboard is designed to show at a glance how well previous cohorts demonstrated characteristics of good or better performance. It contains a brief overview of published data for the last three years. It shows progress first, including from the main starting points.

It includes the key groups: disadvantaged pupils, those who have special educational needs (SEN), girls and boys. Achievement of disadvantaged pupils is compared with the national performance of other (non-disadvantaged) pupils. Cohort sizes are shown; data for very small groups should be treated with caution.

The front page summarises strengths and weaknesses based on only the 2015 data shown in the dashboard. The strengths give an indication of some features of good or better performance in 2015, highlighting consistency across starting points, subjects or groups.

Strengths in 2015

- KS2 value added was significantly above average in all subjects.
- KS2 value added in all subjects was broadly average or above for disadvantaged pupils and those who have special educational needs.
- From each starting point, the proportions of KS2 pupils making and exceeding expected progress in reading, in writing and in mathematics were above national figures.
- From each starting point, the proportion of disadvantaged KS2 pupils making and exceeding expected progress in reading, in writing & in mathematics at least matched that of other pupils nationally.
- Disadvantaged KS2 pupils had an average point score equal to or above the national score for other pupils in reading, writing & mathematics.
- The proportion of disadvantaged KS2 pupils that attained at least Level 4 was equal to or above the national figure for other pupils in reading, writing & mathematics.
- The proportion of disadvantaged KS2 pupils that attained at least Level 5 was equal to or above the national figure for other pupils in reading, writing & mathematics.
- The proportion of Year 1 pupils that met the expected standard in phonics was above the national figure.
- Attendance was above average.
- Persistent absence was below average.
- No group had low attendance (in the lowest 10% of all mainstream schools nationally).

Weaknesses in 2015

• No weaknesses were identified in this dataset

Where a group is identified as in the lowest 10%, it has been compared with the lowest 10% of schools based on the figures for all pupils, and not the figures for the group nationally.

2015									
lational Floor Sta	ndards	School							
evel 4+ RWM	65%	90%							
P reading	94%	100%							
P writing	97%	100%							
P mathematics	93%	100%							

Floor standards met?





Reading expected progress, more than expected progress and closing the gaps



Charts for all pupils show whether school proportions are close to national for all pupils (within one pupil from it) by giving the number of pupils represented by the gap. Closing the gaps charts show gaps between disadvantaged and other pupils (nationally and in the school). Bars extending to the right show positive gaps, with disadvantaged above other, while those to the left show negative gaps. Figures in brackets are the number (n) of pupils with that starting point.







*well below means that the gap relates to one pupil or more

Page 2


URN: 134802 LAESTAB: 8793767 **Inspection dashboard** final (KS1) and validated 2015, 18 March 2016


Writing expected progress, more than expected progress and closing the gaps



Charts for all pupils show whether school proportions are close to national for all pupils (within one pupil from it) by giving the number of pupils represented by the gap. Closing the gaps charts show gaps between disadvantaged and other pupils (nationally and in the school). Bars extending to the right show positive gaps, with disadvantaged above other, while those to the left show negative gaps. Figures in brackets are the number (n) of pupils with that starting point.







*well below means that the gap relates to one pupil or more

Page 3

URN: 134802 LAESTAB: 8793767 **Inspection dashboard** final (KS1) and validated 2015, 18 March 2016

Cohort

2013


2014


Mathematics expected progress, more than expected progress and closing the gaps



Page 4

Charts for all pupils show whether school proportions are close to national for all pupils (within one pupil from it) by giving the number of pupils represented by the gap. Closing the gaps charts show gaps between disadvantaged and other pupils (nationally and in the school). Bars extending to the right show positive gaps, with disadvantaged above other, while those to the left show negative gaps. Figures in brackets are the number (n) of pupils with that starting point.





*well below means that the gap relates to one pupil or more

URN: 134802 LAESTAB: 8793767 **Inspection dashboard** final (KS1) and validated 2015, 18 March 2016

24


2015


Value added


Ofsted
Key Stage 2


The confidence interval is shown by the bar that stretches above and below the plotted VA score. Where the whole of the confidence interval is above the 100 line, the VA is significantly above average (sig+). If it is wholly below the 100 line, the VA is significantly below average (sig-). Otherwise, the VA is not significantly different from 100, or broadly average. Each chart uses a different scale based on the widest confidence interval shown. EHC represents education, health and care plan.

Reading













Writing











Ernesettle Community School Value added and KS2 thresholds


For threshold data, statistically significant results are highlighted for all pupils only. They are denoted by a green (sig+) or red (sig-) symbol.


Ofsted Key Stage 2

Mathematics












% attaining level 4+ (All pupils)




% attaining level 4+ (Disadvantaged)



% attaining level 5+ (All pupils)



% attaining level 5+ (Disadvantaged)




Ernesettle Community School KS2 average point score


Statistically significant results are highlighted for all pupils, boys and girls. They are denoted by a green (sig+) or red (sig-) symbol.




All pupils



Disadvantaged




Other



Boys




SEN EHC/statement



SEN no EHC/statement



No SEN



Girls




KS1 average point score and closing the gaps



Statistically significant results are highlighted for all pupils, boys and girls. They are denoted by a green (sig+) or red (sig-) symbol. Closing the gaps charts show gaps between disadvantaged and other pupils (nationally and in the school). Bars extending to the right show positive gaps, with disadvantaged above other, while those to the left show negative gaps. Figures in brackets are the number (n) of pupils.


All pupils




SEN no EHC/statement



Boys



Girls



Al	PS at	ttainmer	nt gap between disadva	ntaged a	nd other pupils	
,	Year	Other APS (n)	Within school gap	Dis APS (n)	Gap between disadvantaged and national other	National other
:	2015	16.9 (37)	-1.3	15.7 (23)	-1.4	17.1
ading	2014	16.8 (26)	-0.3	16.5 (33)	-0.5	17.0
:	2013	17.1 (17)	-0.8	16.3 (28)	-0.5	16.8
;	2015	16.2 (37)	-1.7	14.5 (23)	-1.3	15.8
riting	2014	16.4 (26)	-0.8	15.6 (33)	0.0	15.6
	2013	15.6 (17)	-0.2	15.4 (28)	0.0	15.5
			'			
:	2015	16.9 (37)	-1.1	15.8 (23)	-1.1	16.9
aths	2014	16.5 (26)	-0.1	16.5 (33)	-0.2	16.7
	2013	16.2 (17)	0.6	16.8 (28)	0.2	16.5

Disadvantaged were well below other pupils nationally in 2015*

Disadvantaged were at or above other pupils nationally in 2015

*well below means that the gap is 4 points or more


Page 8

Ernesettle Community School KS1 thresholds


Statistically significant results are highlighted for all pupils only. They are denoted by a green (sig+) or red (sig-) symbol.




% attaining level 2B+ (All pupils)




Reading




Writing




Mathematics




% attaining level 3+ (All pupils)




Reading



Writing



Mathematics





Phonics and Early Years Foundation Stage Profile


Charts display combined figures for SEN with an EHC plan/statement and SEN without an EHC plan/statement.




Phonics Year 1 % of pupils that met the expected standard













Early Years Foundation Stage Profile % of pupils that achieved a good level of development









Absence, exclusions




On the absence chart, a line shows the highest 10% nationally to help identify groups with low attendance. Repeat exclusions show the percentage of pupils who were excluded more than once in the year. Exclusion data relate to earlier years than other data. The group 'No EHC/stat' represents pupils with special educational needs who have no education, health and care plan or statement.


Absence





Persistent Absence



Fixed term exclusions % and number of pupils excluded







Permanent exclusions



Ernesettle Community School Context in 2015



Prior attainment for Years 1 and 2 uses the percentage achieving at least expected in reading and in writing early learning goals and in both mathematics early learning goals. Prior attainment for Years 3 to 6 uses APS calculated with points equivalent to whole levels. For % free school meals, % SEN and % girls, a red line shows the national figure for primary schools overall, not for each year group.

% first language not English

Number on roll up to year 6: **2**

1.3

% stability

CLA pupils

Number on roll up to year 6: 408

